

## NOTIFICATIONS OF PLANNING DECISIONS FROM West Oxfordshire District Council

Minute Ref 6

Tue 22 September 2020

District Ref

' C ' Contrary to District 'CD' Contrary Delegated

Page No : 1

' D ' Delegated

' E ' Endorsed by District 'ED' Endorsed Delegated

### GRANTED PLANNING PERMISSIONS

<b>E</b> WTC/023/20	Approved	8 GLOUCESTER PLACE
<b>E</b> WTC/032/20	Approved	25 TETBURY DRIVE
<b>C</b> WTC/061/20 District COMMENT	Approved	<p><b>ASH CLOSE</b></p> <p>Local COMMENT Witney Town Council objects to this application. It finds the conditions and reasons for them laid out in the Appendix of the Gloucester Place Mews Residents' Association entirely justified. The Town Council has one hesitation over proposed condition 8 stating that visitors to the Ash Close properties must not park in Gloucester Place Mews. That seems a stretch too far to enforce, if parking is currently permissible on Gloucester Place Mews. Their points about access via Gloucester Place and Ash Close are well made, particularly the requirement for vehicles to be able to exit Ash Close in a forwards gear.</p> <p>There is currently a Keep Clear sign painted on the entrance to Ash Close, so using that access does not change parking for residents of Gloucester Place, but 'improving' that access by widening it or flaring it (proposals are not made in the plans) would likely inconvenience residents of Gloucester Place, who are already very tight for pedestrian and vehicular space.</p> <p>The energy efficiency measures are welcome. Please consider providing the infrastructure (i.e. cabling) to enable electric car charging points to be installed, in each parking space.</p> <p>The car port should include in the dimensions provision for secure, sheltered cycle parking, one bike space per household bed, or this should be provided elsewhere in the development. This is to enable active travel for public health and in light of the climate emergency.</p> <p>The Town Council also requests that an FRA demonstrating the risk to the proposal is carried out as a condition of any possible granting of permission, and that if this demonstrates substantial risk then the application should be reconsidered or permission denied.</p>
<b>C</b> WTC/077/20 District COMMENT	Approved	<p><b>29 MARKET SQUARE</b></p> <p>Local COMMENT Witney Town Council has concerns about this application. There are no current allocated parking or external storage areas. No cycle parking is shown. Could the applicant convert some of internal areas next to lobby on ground floor to cycle/pushchair storage area? The Town Council</p>

**NOTIFICATIONS OF PLANNING DECISIONS FROM West Oxfordshire District Council**

**Minute Ref 6**

**Tue 22 September 2020**

**District Ref**

' C ' Contrary to District 'CD' Contrary Delegated  
' D ' Delegated  
' E ' Endorsed by District 'ED' Endorsed Delegated

Page No : 2

**GRANTED PLANNING PERMISSIONS**

would support the application on this condition.

Furthermore, It is not clear from the scale on the proposed plans that the flat opposite the offices on the first floor meets the minimum floor area of 37 sq m or that several of the bedrooms meet the minimum floor area of 6.5 sq m for single sleeper or 10.22 sq m for two sleepers (these latter areas are for HMO but form a precedent).

The Town Council would like to request the floor areas for each flat.

**C** WTC/081/20  
District COMMENT

Approved

**1 WESLEY WALK**

Local COMMENT Witney Town Council objects to this application on the following grounds:-  
- The proposal is an over development of the site. The application is proposing to put too many flats in too small a space- flats 4 and 6 as illustrated fall below the 61 sq m requirements for 3 bed spaces in 2 bedrooms and flats 2 and 4 fall below the 50sq m required for 2 bed spaces in 1 bedroom;  
- The Town Council has concerns about a lack of cycle storage for the proposed flats;  
- The Town Council objects to the loss of commercial space (the restaurant) and community space (the gym).

**C** WTC/086/20  
District COMMENT

Approved

**23 VANNER ROAD**

Local COMMENT Witney Town Council object to the plans in their current form because there appears to be a material difference incurred in changing a linked detached into effectively a semi by putting a dwelling right up to the boundary wall. The Town Council acknowledges concerns about noise during the construction and afterwards and would welcome a design and access statement that showed details of parking due to the loss of the garage and part of the driveway.

**E** WTC/089/20

Approved

**6 BARRINGTON CLOSE**

**E** WTC/092/20

Approved

**6 LEYS VILLAS**

**E** WTC/093/20

Approved

**45 BEECH ROAD**

**NOTIFICATIONS OF PLANNING DECISIONS FROM West Oxfordshire District Council**

**Minute Ref 6**

**Tue 22 September 2020**

**District Ref**

' C ' Contrary to District 'CD' Contrary Delegated  
' D ' Delegated  
' E ' Endorsed by District 'ED' Endorsed Delegated

Page No : 3

**GRANTED PLANNING PERMISSIONS**

<b>E</b> WTC/094/20	Approved	35 WESTCOTE CLOSE
<b>E</b> WTC/096/20	Approved	5 BIRDLIP CLOSE
<b>E</b> WTC/097/20	Approved	COGGES MANOR FARM
<b>E</b> WTC/098/20	Approved	COGGES MANOR FARM
<b>E</b> WTC/099/20	Approved	COGGES MANOR FARM
<b>C</b> WTC/100/20 District COMMENT	Approved	9A WEST END Local COMMENT Witney Town Council objects to this proposal and it is regrettable that it is a retrospective application. The loading door raises concerns on safety, especially for a child. The Town Council also requests that the dwelling must remain as one dwelling and should not be separated in the future.
<b>E</b> WTC/102/20	Approved	37A CRAWLEY ROAD
<b>E</b> WTC/105/20	Approved	113 ETON CLOSE
<b>E</b> WTC/106/20	Approved	77 MIRFIELD ROAD
<b>E</b> WTC/107/20	Approved	7 WESTCOTE CLOSE
<b>E</b> WTC/110/20	Approved	6 APPLGARTH COURT
<b>E</b> WTC/111/20	Approved	86 QUARRY ROAD

**OTHER PLANNING DETAILS**

WTC/091/20	Withdrawn	25 DUCKLINGTON LANE
------------	-----------	---------------------